Serve



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301
Nature of Suit: CC Employment Discrepte 213 111	

(Date File Stamp)

	Summons in Civil C	
The State of Missouri 1 R/A DAVID STREETT 439 S. KIRKWOOD RD STE 2	to: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/ Alias:	A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST
ST. LOUIS, MO 63122 COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to appear before this copy of which is attached, and to serve a plaintiff/petitioner at the above address al exclusive of the day of service. If you fail be taken against you for the relief demand	court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for I within 30 days after receiving this summons, to file your pleading, judgment by default may led in the petition.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019 Date	/S/ Cheryl Crowder Clerk
	Further Information:	Clerk
****	Sheriff's or Server's Retu	rn
Note to serving officer	": Summons should be returned to the court within 30 d	ays after the date of issue.
	ed the above summons by: (check one)	
leaving a copy of the	he summons and a copy of the petition to the defendar summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling pla	ice or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with
(for service on a con	anently resides with the defendant/respondent. coration) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy (name)	of the complaint to:
other:		
Served at	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA	(address)
în	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at (time).
		` '
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by a	Signature of Sheriff or Server n authorized officer:
(Seal)	Subscribed and sworn to before me on	(date).
(Sear)	My commission expires:	
	My commission expires:Date	Notary Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicat		
Summons	\$	
Non Est	\$	
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	© 40.00	
Supplemental Surcharge Mileage	\$10.00	" >
ivilleage Total	\$(miles @ \$per	mile)
	d a copy of the petition must be served on each defend	doubles and double for a south of
claces of cuite, ean Supra	o a copy of the petition must be served on each defend	uanimespondent, For methods of service on all

1 of 1

OSCA (06-18) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 19-SMCC-1687

Civil Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54.01-54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120-506.140, and 506.150 RSMo

1911-CC00891

IN THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, STATE OF MISSOURI CIRCUIT JUDGE DIVISION

ELIZABETH KREUTZ)
Plaintiff,) Cause No.:
vs.) Division:
GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE, LLC d/b/a BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTISTRY)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Serve: Registered Agent David Streett 439 S. Kirkwood Rd. – Suite 204 St. Louis, MO 63122))))
And)
KINGSTON DENTAL CENTER- RONALD K. GREIF D.D.S., P.C.)
Serve: Registered Agent Ronald K. Greif 4442 Telegraph Rd. St. Louis, MO 63129))))
And)
BELLEVILLE DENTAL CARE – RONALD K. GREIF, D.D.S. AND SAMIR RUVINOV, D.D.S., P.C.)))
Serve: Registered Agent David Streett 439 S. Kirkwood Rd. – Suite 204 St. Louis, MO 63122))))
And)
THE HILLS DENTAL CARE – RONALD K. GREIF, D.D.S. AND SAMIR RUVINOV, D.D.S., LLC)))

Serve:)
Registered Agent	j j
Ronald K. Greif	j j
4442 Telegraph Rd.)
St. Louis, MÔ 63129	ý
And)
FLORISSANT DENTAL CARE RONA	
K. GREIF, D.D.S. AND SAMIR RUVING)V,)
D.D.S., LLC)
Serve:	}
Registered Agent	j j
Ronald K. Greif)
4442 Telegraph Rd.	ý
St. Louis, MO 63129	į
Defendants.)

PETITION FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Elizabeth Kreutz, by and through her attorneys, and for her Petition, against Defendant Greif Ruvinov Dental Care, LLC and d/b/a Brookside Family Dentistry, Defendant Kingston Dental Center-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S., P.C., Defendant Belleville Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., P.C., Defendant The Hills Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., LLC, Defendant Florissant Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., LLC states:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

- 1. The events involved in this Petition occurred in St. Charles County, Missouri.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties of this action.

- 4. Plaintiff timely filed a charge of discrimination on or about July 6, 2018 with the EEOC, Charge number 28E-2018-01245C which was dually filed with the Missouri Commission on Human Rights, Charge number E-07/18-49704.
- 5. Plaintiff was issued a Notice of Right to Sue from the Missouri Commission on Human Rights on June 18, 2019 and the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on or about June 25, 2019.
- 6. Plaintiff is an individual who is and was at all times herein after mentioned, a resident of St. Charles County, State of Missouri.
- 7. Defendant Greif Ruvinov Dental Care, LLC d/b/a Brookside Family Dentistry (hereinafter referred to as Defendant Greif Ruvinov Dental Care and/or Defendants) is a domestic limited liability company located at 4442 Telegraph Rd, St. Louis, MO 63129, and that at all relevant times herein conducted business within St. Charles County, Missouri.
- 8. Defendant Kingston Dental Center-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S., P.C. (hereinafter referred to as Defendant Kingston Dental Center and/or Defendants) is a domestic professional corporation located at 4442 Telegraph Rd, St. Louis, MO 63129, and that at all relevant times herein conducted business within St. Charles County, Missouri.
- 9. Defendant Belleville Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., P.C. (hereinafter referred to as Defendant Belleville Dental Care and/or Defendants) is a domestic professional corporation located at 4442 Telegraph Rd, St. Louis, MO 63129, and that at all relevant times herein conducted business within St. Charles County, Missouri.
- Defendant The Hills Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov,D.D.S., LLC. (hereinafter referred to as Defendant The Hills Dental Care and/or Defendants) is a

domestic limited liability company located at 4442 Telegraph Rd, St. Louis, MO 63129, and that at all relevant times herein conducted business within St. Charles County, Missouri.

- 11. Defendant Florissant Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., LLC (hereinafter referred to as Defendant Florissant Dental Care and/or Defendants) is a domestic limited liability company located at 4442 Telegraph Rd, St. Louis, MO 63129, and that at all relevant times herein conducted business within St. Charles County, Missouri.
- 12. This Petition is authorized and instituted under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C § 621, et seq and the Missouri Human Rights Act, § 213.010 RSMo., et seq. (MHRA).

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 13. Defendants are businesses engaged in the practice of Dentistry within Saint Charles County and the surrounding metropolitan area, that are owned and operated by the same ownership, Ronald Greif, individually and/or Ronald Greif and Samir Ruvinov, jointly.
- 14. Defendants share the same registered agent address, either Ronald Greif or David Streett.
 - 15. Defendants share the same management.
 - 16. Defendants share common ownership.
- 17. Defendants had interrelated operations, common management, centralized control of labor relationship and common ownership.
 - 18. Defendants were joint employers of Plaintiff at all times relevant herein.
 - 19. Plaintiff has been a dental hygienist since 1969.
- 20. Plaintiff worked as a dental hygienist for Dr. Michael K. Brown for 34 years at his location at 31 O'Fallon Square, O'Fallon, Mo 63366.

- 21. Dr. Brown retired and sold his business to Dr. Tonya Winemiller in or about November 2017.
 - 22. Dr. Winemiller rebranded the business as Brookside Family Dentistry.
 - 23. Dr. Winemiller sold the business to Defendants in May of 2018.
- 24. Defendants buy struggling dental practices and have multiple locations. They have numerous locations under different names including Brookside Family Dentistry, Kingston Dental Center, Belleville Dental Care, the Hills Dental Care, Florissant Dental Care.
- 25. Defendants' managers, Joe Jindela and Stacy Martin, from the other locations came to Plaintiff's employment location in O'Fallon (31 O'Fallon Square, O'Fallon, Mo 63366) to work and teach the staff.
 - 26. Plaintiff never had any issues with Dr. Brown or Dr. Winemiller.
 - 27. Plaintiff had problems with the Defendants' management.
- 28. The Defendants began cutting Plaintiff's hours and moving her patients to other hygienists.
 - 29. The Defendants indicated that Plaintiff needed to retire.
- 30. The Defendants had a staff meeting on June 25th that they did not notify Plaintiff of.
- 31. At the meeting the Defendants discussed that they would have a younger hygienist, Lindsey, come from one of their other locations and gave her Plaintiff's patients.
- 32. Plaintiff asked the Defendants' manager, Stacy Martin why Plaintiff was not invited to the meeting and why they were cutting Plaintiff's hours and giving Plaintiff's patients to other hygienists.
 - 33. Plaintiff told Stacey Martin that Plaintiff felt she was being disrespected.

34. Stacey Martin told Plaintiff that we should agree to disagree and that Plaintiff was terminated.

COUNT I - VIOLATIONS UNDER THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967 – 29 U.S.C. 621

- 35. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all facts contained in the previous paragraphs of her Petition for Damages as though fully stated herein.
 - 36. Plaintiff is a 74 year-old female.
- 37. At all relevant times herein, Defendants were an employer as defined by The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
- 38. Plaintiff began working for Defendants on or about May 2018 in the position of dental hygienist.
- 39. Plaintiff was abused, harassed, criticized and degraded by Defendants in order to get the Plaintiff to quit her employment.
- 40. Defendants established and permitted an environment very hostile to Plaintiff, and made it very difficult for the Plaintiff to perform her job duties.
- 41. Defendants intentionally engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq., of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, by practices including but not limited to the following:
 - a) Treating Plaintiff differently than similarly situated individuals because of her age;
 - b) Harassed and discriminated against Plaintiff in that she was subjected to hostile, offensive, abusive and unwelcome conduct and statements regarding her age; and,
 - c) Retaliated against Plaintiff for filing complaints and/or for testifying in relation to complaints of discrimination;

- 42. The actions of Defendants were motivated by Plaintiff's age.
- 43. The Defendants authorized the doing and the manner of its agent's discriminatory acts.
 - 44. The Defendants ratified or approved the discriminatory actions of its agents
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of these unlawful employment practices, Plaintiff has suffered losses of wages, benefits, experience, and career advancement, incurred attorney fees and also have suffered mental anguish and humiliation.
- 46. Because of these damages, Plaintiff is entitled to such affirmative relief as may be appropriate, including but not limited to reinstatement, lost wages, and benefits in accordance with the provisions of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq.
- 47. The above actions by the Defendants were sufficiently severe and/or pervasive that a reasonable person would find Plaintiff's work environment to be hostile, offensive and/or abusive.
- 48. At the time above actions by the Defendants occurred, and as a result of such actions, Plaintiff believed her work environment to be hostile and abusive.
- 49. Defendants discharged and discriminated against Plaintiff with respect to her compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of her age and because of her complaints of harassment and discrimination.
- 50. Defendants retaliated and discriminated against the Plaintiff, including terminating her, because she opposed practices prohibited by 29 U.S.C. § 621, et seq., of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.
 - 51. Defendants' articulated reasons for its actions were pretexts for unlawful actions.

- 52. Defendants' actions were intentional, willful, knowing, wanton and malicious, and in flagrant disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, and entitle Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages.
 - 53. Defendants terminated the Plaintiff on or about June 27, 2018.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays this honorable court enter Judgment against the Defendants and in favor of the Plaintiff, to award the Plaintiff actual damages in the amount determined at trial but in excess of \$25,000.00, for nominal damages in the event no actual damages are found, for back pay, front pay, for lost benefits, for punitive damages, for interest, for her costs and attorney's fees, for damages for past and future mental anguish, inconvenience, loss quality of life, and pain and suffering and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II - VIOLATIONS OF THE MISSOURI HUMAN RIGHTS ACT: RETALIATION

- 54. Plaintiff hereby re-alleges and incorporates by reference all facts contained in the previous paragraphs of her Petition for Damages as though fully stated herein.
- 55. This Count is brought pursuant to the Missouri Human Rights Act (MHRA),
 Chapter 213 of the Missouri Revised Statutes.
- At all relevant times herein, the Defendants were an employer as defined by the MHRA.
- 57. Plaintiff is a member of the class of people intended to be protected by the Missouri Human Rights Act, including, section 213.010, et seq.
- 58. Section 213.070 R.S.Mo. states in relevant part "It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for an employer . . . To retaliate or discriminate in any manner against

any other person because such person has opposed any practice prohibited by this chapter or because such person has filed a complaint, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in any investigation, proceeding or hearing conducted pursuant to this chapter".

- 59. Plaintiff has been discriminated and retaliated against because of her complaints of harassment and discrimination.
- 60. Plaintiff has been subjected to a hostile work environment due to her complaints regarding the harassment and discrimination.
- 61. The discrimination and retaliation of the Plaintiff affected a term, condition, or privilege of her employment.
- 62. Defendants were aware of the discrimination and retaliation inflicted on the Plaintiff.
- 63. The management staff for the Defendants was unsupportive of the Plaintiff's complaints.
- 64. The management staff for the Defendants failed to take appropriate action after Plaintiff complained of harassment, discrimination and retaliation.
- 65. The harassment, discrimination and retaliation Plaintiff was subjected to was frequent and continuing in nature.
- 66. Defendants retaliated against the Plaintiff because of her complaints of discrimination and harassment.
- 67. The Defendants' actions were directly and causally connected to Plaintiff's opposition of practices prohibited by the MHRA.

- 68. The discriminatory and harassing actions of Plaintiff's coworkers, managers and supervisors were sufficiently severe and/or pervasive that a reasonable person would find Plaintiff's work environment to be hostile, offensive and/or abusive.
 - 69. Plaintiff found her work environment to be hostile, offensive and/or abusive.
- 70. Plaintiff complained to supervisors for the Defendants about the retaliation but they each refused to adequately address the situation.
- 71. Defendants did not exercise reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct any discriminatory and retaliatory behavior by its employees.
- 72. Defendants failed to provide the Plaintiff any preventive or corrective opportunities.
- 73. Plaintiff was subject to discriminatory and retaliatory actions by the Defendants and its agents and employees.
- 74. The Defendants' actions were directly and causally connected to Plaintiff's opposition of practices prohibited by the MHRA.
- 75. Plaintiff was harassed, discriminated against, and terminated by the Defendants because of her exercising her rights under the Missouri Human Rights Act, as it relates to employment.
- 76. Defendants retaliated and discriminated against Plaintiff because she opposed practices prohibited by Chapter 213 R.S.Mo. and/or because she filed a complaint, testified, assisted, or participated in investigations, proceedings or hearings conducted pursuant to Chapter 213 R.S.Mo.
- 77. Defendants and its employees, by their actions and failures to act, including but not limited to those described above, have consistently retaliated and discriminated against the

Plaintiff on account of her complaints of harassment and discrimination on a continuing basis, since May 2018 to the date Plaintiff's employment ended, as an act or part of a pattern or practice of retaliation by the Defendants, as it relates to employment.

- 78. Defendants, by its actions and failures to act, including but not limited to those described above, have consistently retaliated and discriminated against their employees on account of their complaints of harassment and discrimination on a continuing basis, as an act or part of a pattern or practice of discrimination by the Defendants, as it relates to employment.
- 79. Plaintiff's complaints of harassment and discrimination and opposition to practices prohibited by Chapter 213 of the Missouri Revised Statutes were a contributing and motivating factor and the exclusive cause in Defendants' retaliation and actions against him including her termination.
- 80. Plaintiff has suffered financial loss, incurred attorney fees and costs, decreased business opportunities, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life as a result of the actions of the Defendants.
- 81. The Defendants' articulated reasons for its actions were pretexts for unlawful actions.
- 82. The Defendants' conduct was outrageous because of the Defendants' evil motive or reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights.
- 83. Defendants' actions were intentional, willful, knowing, wanton and malicious, and in flagrant disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, and entitle Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff pray this court after a trial by jury, for which a jury is hereby demanded, to find that the Defendants have violated the rights of the Plaintiff as set forth

above; that a Judgment be entered ordering Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for the loss of income she has suffered as a result of the unlawful acts of retaliation, including back pay from the time of the unlawful discrimination with interest thereon and fringe benefits, front pay, compensatory damages, damages for past and future mental anguish, inconvenience, loss quality of life, and pain and suffering, punitive damages in the maximum amount allowed by law, statutory damages, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of Defendants' unlawful employment practices, in an amount to be determined at trial, in excessive of \$25,000.00; allow Plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable attorney fees and expenses incurred; interest on all amounts, Grant such additional and affirmative relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, through counsel, respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues.

Respectfully submitted:

KASPER LAW FIRM, LLC

By: /s/ Ryan Schellert Kevin J. Kasper, #52171 Ryan Schellert, #56710 3930 Old Hwy 94 South Suite 108 St. Charles, MO 63304

Ph: 636-922-7100 Fax: 866-303-2874

KevinKasper@KasperLawFirm.net RyanSchellert@KasperLawFirm.net

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 14 of 27 PageID #: 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION

ELIZABETH KREUTZ,)	
Plair	ntiff,)	Case No.
VS.	ý	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
GRIEF RUVINOF DENTAL CA	RE, LLC,	
d/b/a BROOKSIDE FAMILY DE	NTISTRY,)	
et al.)	
Defe	endants.)	

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION

TO THE CLERK AND JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, PLAINTIFF ELIZABETH KREUTZ AND HER ATTORNEY OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants Grief Ruvinof Dental Care, LLC d/b/a/Brookside Family Dentistry, Kingston Dental Center-Ronald K. Grief D.D.S., P.C., Belleville Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif, D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., P.C., The Hills Dental Care-Ronald K. Grief, D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., LLC, and Florissant Dental Care-Ronald K. Greif, D.D.S. and Samir Ruvinov, D.D.S., LLC, hereby remove the above-entitled action, Case No. 1911-CC00891, from the Circuit Court of the County of St. Charles, State of Missouri to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441 and 1446.

Defendants, by and through their attorneys, Harris, Dowell, Fisher & Young, L.C., state the following as grounds for removal of the above-captioned action:

1. On September 16, 2019, Plaintiff instituted Civil Action No. 1911-CC00891 against Defendants in the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, St. Charles County,

State of Missouri, by filing a Petition. Defendants Greif Ruvinov Dental Care LLC d/b/a Brookside Family Dentist and Belleville Dental Care were served on September 23, 2019, by service on their registered agent, David Streett, and thereafter Defendants received from their registered agent a copy of the Summons, Petition, and a Certificate of Service. Defendants Kingston Dental Center, The Hills Dental Care, and Florissant Dental Care were served on September 24, 2019, by service on their registered agent, Ronald K. Greif, and thereafter Defendants received from their registered agent a copy of the Summons, Petition, and a Certificate of Service. A copy of the Summons for each defendant, the Petition, the Certificate of Service, and the Server's Returns of Service for each defendant are attached as Exhibit A. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), the attached exhibit A constitutes all process, pleadings, and orders served upon Defendants or filed or received in this action by Defendants.

- 2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(b) this Notice of Removal is timely filed, as it is filed within thirty (30) days after Defendants were served on September 23 and 24, 2019, and within one year after commencement of this action.
- 3. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331, inasmuch as this case is a civil action containing counts arising under the laws of the United States.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections §§105(a)(1), 1391, and 1446.

Federal Question Jurisdiction

1. Under 28 U.S.C. Section 1441, any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 16 of 27 PageID #: 22

the place where such action is pending.

2. This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28

U.S.C. §1331, and is one which may be removed by Defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28

U.S.C. §1441, in that it arises under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. §

621, et seq.

3. Defendants seek to remove this case to the United States District Court for the

Eastern District of Missouri, on the basis of federal question jurisdiction.

4. A copy of this Notice of Removal of Civil Action is being filed with the Clerk of the

Circuit Court for the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, St. Charles County, State of Missouri and written

notice will be given to Plaintiff's counsel, Kevin J. Kaspar and Ryan Schellert.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that this Court will make the proper orders to affect the

removal of this cause from the Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, St. Charles County,

Missouri, to this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

HARRIS DOWELL FISHER & YOUNG, L.C.

By: /s/ Fred A. Ricks, Jr.

Fred A. Ricks, Jr., #MO31663

Elizabeth A. Johnson, #MO49193

15400 S. Outer Forty, Suite 202

Chesterfield, MO 63017

Phone: (63)

(636) 532-0300

Facsimile: (636) 532-0246

fricks@harrisdowell.com

Attorneys for Defendants

3

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 17 of 27 PageID #: 23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this _____th day of October, 2019, a copy of the forgoing was mailed via U.S. Mail, First Class postage prepaid and also via the Clerk of Court to be served by operation of the Court's electronic filing system upon the following:

KASPAR LAW FIRM, LLC

Kevin J. Kaspar Ryan Schellert 3930 Old Hwy 94 South Suite 108 St. Charles, MO 63304

Phone: 636-922-7100 Fax: 866-303-2874

KevinKaspar@KasparLawFirm.net RyanSchellert@KasparLawFirm.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Fred A. Ricks, Jr.

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 18 of 27 PageID #: 24



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
JON A. CUNNINGHAM		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	9
ELIZABETH KREUTZ	RYAN P SCHELLERT	
	3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108	
VS.	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	
GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A	300 N 2nd STREET	
BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit:		
CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111		(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case			
The State of Missouri to	o: KINGSTON DENTAL CENTER-RONALD K. GREIF DDS., P.C.		
D/4 DOM 41 D 14 ODE 5	Alias:		
R/A RONALD K. GREIF 4442 TELEGRAPH RD.			
ST. LOUIS, MO 63129			
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a		
O S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.		
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019/S/ Cheryl Crowder Date Clerk		
	Further Information:		
	Sheriff's or Server's Return		
_	: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.		
	ed the above summons by: (check one)		
delivering a copy of the	he summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.		
☐ leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of		
15 years who perma	anently resides with the defendant/respondent.		
	oration) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:		
	(title).		
other:			
Served at	(address)		
	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on(date) at(time).		
	(unit).		
Printed Nam	ne of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sheriff or Server		
	Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:		
	Subscribed and sworn to before me on (date).		
(Seal)			
	My commission expires: Date Notary Public		
Sheriff's Fees, if applicab			
Summons	\$		
Non Est	\$		
	93		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00 \$ (miles @ \$ per mile) \$		
Supplemental Surcharge Mileage Total			

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 19 of 27 PageID #: 25

Return



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111		(Date File Stan

Summons in Civil Case (Date File Stamp

The State of Missouri to:	KINGSTON DENTAL CENTER- Alias:	RONALD K. GREIF DDS., P.C.
R/A RONALD K. GREIF 4442 TELEGRAPH RD. ST. LOUIS, MO 63129		
COURT OF	copy of which is attached, and plaintiff/petitioner at the above	before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, . If you fall to file your pleading, judgment by default may lief demanded in the petition.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019 Date	/S/ Cheryl Crowder Clerk
	Further Information:	
Note to the second	Sheriff's or Se	rver's Return
Note to serving officer: Su	immons should be returned to the co	urt within 30 days after the date of Issue.
I certify that I have served the	ne above summons by: (check one)	
delivering a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition to	the defendant/respondent.
☐ leaving a copy of the sur	nmons and a copy of the petition at the	e dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with
	ntly resides with the defendant/respon	2 Derson of the defendant's transportant's femiliary at the same t
(for service on a corpora	tion) delivering a copy of the summor	IDENT.
	(nar	ne) (title).
other:		(title).
- 1111	01	
in St. Covis	(County/City of St. Louis)	MO, on 9-24-19 (date) at 320 p (time).
_ Wayne Poll	ette	Stague Cot Est
Mi	Sheriff or Server ist pe sworn before a notary public if n	/ Signature of Sheriff or Server
AMANDA L. MILLER	the cribed and sweet to before any	ot served by an authorized officer;
St Charles (Seatly – State of Missour	in a sworn to before me on	(date).
Commission Number 13534807M	commission expires: Ocf o	2021 Garal 172
My Commission Expires Oct 6, 2021	Date	9-24-19 (date). Notary Public
Sherim's Fees, if applicable		
Summons \$		
Non Est \$		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary		
0 00	10.00	
Mileage \$	(miles @	\$ per mile)
Total \$		
A copy of the summons and a classes of suits, see Supreme	copy of the petition must be served of Court Rule 54.	n each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 20 of 27 PageID #: 26



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301
Nature of Suit:	

(Date File Stamp)

	Summons in Civil Case		
The State of Missouri to: THE HILLS DENTAL CARE-RONALD K GREIF DDS AND SAMIR RUVINOV			
Alias: R/A RONALD K GREIF 4442 TELEGRAPH RD ST. LOUIS, MO 63129 COURT SEAL OF You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.			
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019 /S/ Cheryl Crowder Date Clerk		
	Further Information:		
I certify that I have served delivering a copy of the leaving a copy of the s 15 years who perman (for service on a corpo other:	Sheriff's or Server's Return Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. If the above summons by: (check one) e summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with		
	(address)		
in ₌	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on(date) at(time).		
Printed Name	e of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: Subscribed and sworn to before me on		
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable			
Summons	\$		
Non Est	\$		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage Total	\$10.00 \$ (miles @ \$ per mile) \$		
A copy of the summons and classes of suits, see Suprer	a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all ne Court Rule 54.		

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 21 of 27 PageID #: 27





IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111		(Date File Stamp)

	Summons	in Civil Case	(Date File Stamp)
	THE HILLS DENTAL CARE-R Alias:		ND SAMIR RUVINOV
R/A RONALD K GREIF 4442 TELEGRAPH RD ST. LOUIS, MO 63129 COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, as plaintiff/petitioner at the aborexclusive of the day of service be taken against you for the	nd to serve a copy of you ve address all within 30 c ce. If you fail to file your	o file your pleading to the petition, a ir pleading upon the attorney for days after receiving this summons, pleading, judgment by default may etition.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019 Date	/S/	Cheryl Crowder
	Further Information:		Clerk
l certify that I have served t delivering a copy of the	Sheriff's or s summons should be returned to the countries the above summons by: (check one) summons and a copy of the petition	to the defendant/respondent	
leaving a copy of the su	mmons and a copy of the petition at	the dwelling place or usual a	bode of the defendant/respondent with
(for service on a corpora	ently resides with the defendant/resp ation) delivering a copy of the summon (na	ondent. ons and a copy of the compla	nt's/respondent's family over the age of int to: (title).
other:			
Served at 4442			(address)
in St. covis	(County/Dity of St. Louis	s), MO, on 9,24,19	(date) at (time).
Wayne Polet	L Sheriff or Server	Slay	E Guare
	us be sworn before a notary public if	Si not served by an authorized o	gnature of Sheriff or Server
Notary Public - Notary Seal S	ub cribed and sworn to before me o		(date).
St Charles Consistent State of Missouri Commission Number 13534807	ly commission expires: Ottob	2-11	12
My Commission Expires Oct 6, 2021	Dat	9001	Notary Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable			Hotaly I dollo
Summons	§		
Non Est	ß		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	10.00		
Mileage 9		7) \$ normile\	
Total \$		@ \$ per mile)	
A copy of the summons and a classes of suits, see Supreme	copy of the petition must be served Court Rule 54.	on each defendant/responde	ent. For methods of service on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 22 of 27 PageID #: 28



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1911-CC00891
JON A. CUNNINGHAM	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address
ELIZABETH KREUTZ	RYAN P SCHELLERT
	3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108
VS.	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:
GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A	300 N 2nd STREET
BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301
Nature of Suit:	
CC Employment Discremente 212 111	

(Date File Stamp)

	Summons in	Civil Case
The State of Missouri to		NALD K GREIF DDS AND SAMIR RUVINOV
R/A RONALD K GREIF 4442 TELEGRAPH RD ST. LOUIS, MO 63129 COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, and to plaintiff/petitioner at the above ad	fore this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for ddress all within 30 days after receiving this summons, you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may f demanded in the petition.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019 Date	/S/ Cheryl Crowder Clerk
	Further Information:	Oleik
I certify that I have served delivering a copy of the leaving a copy of the saving a copy of	Sheriff's or Server Summons should be returned to the court we the above summons by: (check one) as summons and a copy of the petition to the summons and a copy of the petition at the dummons and a copy of the petition at the dummons and a copy of the summons are a copy of the summons and a copy of the	e defendant/respondent. dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of int. and a copy of the complaint to:
in _,	(County/City of St. Louis), MC	O, on(time).
(Seal)	of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not s Subscribed and sworn to before me on My commission expires: Date	Signature of Sheriff or Server served by an authorized officer:(date) Notary Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable		
Summons Non Est	\$	
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge Mileage Total A copy of the summons and		per mile) each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all
classes of suits, see Supren	ie Court Rule 54.	

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 23 of 27 PageID #: 29





IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213,111		/Dat

(Date File Stamp)

		in Civil Case	(Suco File Stamp)
The State of Missouri to:	FLORISSANT DENTAL CARE- Alias:	RONALD K GREIF DDS AN	D SAMIR RUVINOV
4442 TELEGRAPH RD			
ST. LOUIS, MO 63129 COURT SEAL OF	copy of which is attached, an plaintiff/petitioner at the abov	d to serve a copy of your pl	e your pleading to the petition, a eading upon the attorney for a after receiving this summons, iding, judgment by default may
Oll The same of	be taken against you for the r	elief demanded in the petiti	on.
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019	/S/ CI	neryl Crowder
	Date Further Information:		Clerk
	Sheriff's or S	erver's Return	The second secon
Note to serving officer: S	Summons should be returned to the co	ourt within 30 days after the date	of issue.
	the above summons by: (check one)		
☐ leaving a copy of the su	summons and a copy of the petition tummons and a copy of the petition at t	the dwelling place or usual abode	of the defendant/respondent with espondent's family over the age of
(for service on a corpora	ently resides with the defendant/respo ation) delivering a copy of the summo	ondent. Ins and a copy of the complaint to	D:
other:	(nai	me)	(title).
Served at 4442	Telegraph Rd		(address)
in St. covis	(County/City of St. Louis)), MO, on <u>9,-24-19</u>	(date) at _3:20 \(\text{(time)}.
Wayne Pole	tte	Nayne	Colone
	of Sheriff or Server	Signatu	ure of Sheriff or Server
Notary Rublic – Notary Seal Si Charles County – State of Missou	Subscribed and sworn to before me or	9-24-19	(date).
Commission Number 13534807N My Commission Expires Oct 6, 202	My commission expires:		Notary Public
Sneriff's Fees, if applicable	_		riotaly i dono
Summons	\$		
Non Est	\$		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00		
Mileage		\$ per mile)	
Total	\$ \ \ \ mes \(\omega \)	ς ψ per ππο <i>)</i>	
A copy of the summons and a classes of suits, see Supreme	a copy of the petition must be served of Court Rule 54.	on each defendant/respondent. I	For methods of service on all

OSCA (06-18) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 19-SMCC-1691

1 of 1 Civil Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54.01 – 54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120 – 506.140, and 506.150 RSMo

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 24 of 27 PageID #: 30



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301

(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case			
The State of Missouri to: R/A DAVID STREETT 439 S. KIRKWOOD RD STE 204 ST. LOUIS, MO 63122 COURT SEAL OF			
COOK! SEAL OF	You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.		
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019/S/ Cheryl Crowder Date Clerk		
	Further Information:		
_	Sheriff's or Server's Return Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. the above summons by: (check one)		
leaving a copy of the su	summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. ummons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with , a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of		
(for service on a corpor	ently resides with the defendant/respondent. ation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:		
	(title).		
	(address)		
	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on(date) at(time).		
Printed Name	of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sheriff or Server		
N	Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:		
(Seal)	Subscribed and sworn to before me on(date).		
	My commission expires: Date Notary Public		
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable			
Summons	\$		
Non Est	\$		
Sheriff's Deputy Salary			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00		
Mileage	\$ (miles @ \$ per mile)		
Total	\$		
A copy of the summons and classes of suits, see Suprem	a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all e Court Rule 54.		

Return



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT	
Vs.	3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111		(Date File Stamp)

		s in Civil Case		
	GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL C. Alias:	ARE LLC D/B/A BROOK	SIDE FAMILY DENTIST	***************************************
R/A DAVID STREETT 439 S. KIRKWOOD RD STE 204				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63122				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to appear	ar before this court and	to file your pleading to the	he petition, a
	copy of which is attached, a plaintiff/petitioner at the abo	nd to serve a copy of your	our pleading upon the att	orney for
	exclusive of the day of servi	ice. If you fail to file you	r pleading, judament by	default mav
011	be taken against you for the	relief demanded in the	petition.	
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019	/S	/ Cheryl Crowder	
OT. OTAKLES COUNTY	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			
Note to serving officer: S	Sheriff's or summons should be returned to the	Server's Return	data of Issue	
	the above summons by: (check one		date of issue.	
delivering a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition	to the defendant/responder	nt.	
leaving a copy of the su	immons and a copy of the petition a	t the dwelling place or usual	abode of the defendant/respondent	ondent with
15 years who permane	ently resides with the defendant/res	, a person of the defenda	ant's/respondent's family over	r the age of
(for service on a corpora	ation) delivering a copy of the summ	nons and a copy of the comp	laint to:	
	(n	ame)		(title).
other:				
Served at 439 S-	Kirkwood Rd			(address)
in_ St. LOUIS	(County/City of St. Lou	is), MO, on 9-23-1	9 (date) at Zom	(time).
n		W.	The state of the	(umo).
Wayne Yolet	te	S'ago	12 Octate	.5
Printed Name of	of Sheriff or Server	if not served by an authorized	Signature of Sheriff or Server	
AMANDA L. MILLER S	Subscribed and sworn to before me	on 9-23-19	(date).	
Notary Bublic - Notary Seal	souri oy commission expires:	27	(uato).	
Commission Number 135348	y commission expires: OCTOL	2001	mayd The	
My Commission Expires Oct 6, 2	2021 Da	10	Notary Public	
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	TAN TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O			
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00			
Mileage	5 (miles	@ \$ per mile)		
Total	a copy of the potition must be	dan anala dage da es		
classes of suits, see Supreme	a copy of the petition must be served	on each detendant/respond	zent. For methods of service	on all

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 26 of 27 PageID #: 32



IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891
Plaintiff/Petitioner: ELIZABETH KREUTZ vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address RYAN P SCHELLERT 3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108 SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304
Defendant/Respondent: GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	Court Address: 300 N 2nd STREET SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301
Nature of Suit: CC Employmnt Discremntn 213.111	

(Date File Stamp)

CO Employment Discrimital 2	213.111	(Date File Stamp)
	Summons in Civil Case	
The State of Missouri to:	BELLEVILLE DENTAL CARE-RONALD K GREIF DDS AND SAMIR	RUVINOV
R/A DAVID STREETT	Alias:	
439 S KIRKWOOD RD-STE 204		
ST. LOUIS, MO 63122		
COURT SEAL OF	You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pl	
	copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading u	
(3)	plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after re exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, jud	
(3) (1) (3)	be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.	ignicite by actual may
्राह्मस्थात्र । जिल्लास्थात्र ।	·	
ST. CHARLES COUNTY	9/18/2019/S/ Cheryl Crowde Date/S/ Cheryl Crowde	r
	Further Information:	
	Sheriff's or Server's Return	
	Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue.	
	the above summons by: (check one)	
delivering a copy of the	e summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent.	
ieaving a copy of the si	ummons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the de a person of the defendant's/responden	rendant/respondent with
	ently resides with the defendant/respondent.	to failing over the age of
(for service on a corpor	ration) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to:	,,,,, ,
	(name)	(title).
Served at		(address)
în	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on(date) at(time).
		, ,
	of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sher Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer:	iff or Server
	Subscribed and sworn to before me on(data)
(Seal)		iate).
	My commission expires:	
		y Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applicable	•	
Summons Non Est	\$	
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	•	
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00	
Mileage	\$ (miles @ \$ per mile)	
Total	\$	
A copy of the summons and	a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For method	ds of service on all
classes of suits, see Suprem	ne Court Rule 54.	

Case: 4:19-cv-02794 Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 10/17/19 Page: 27 of 27 PageID #: 33





IN THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division; JON A. CUNNINGHAM	Case Number: 1911-CC00891	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
ELIZABETH KREUTZ	RYAN P SCHELLERT	
	3930 OLD HWY 94 SOUTH, STE 108	
Vs.	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63304	
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	
GREIF RUVINOV DENTAL CARE LLC D/B/A	300 N 2nd STREET	1
BROOKSIDE FAMILY DENTIST	SAINT CHARLES, MO 63301	
Nature of Suit:		
CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111		(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case The State of Missouri to: BELLEVILLE DENTAL CARE-RONALD K GREIF DDS AND SAMIR RUVINOV Alias: R/A DAVID STREETT 439 S KIRKWOOD RD-STE 204 ST. LOUIS, MO 63122 COURT SEAL OF You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons. exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the rellef demanded in the petition. 9/18/2019 /S/ Cheryl Crowder_ ST. CHARLES COUNTY Date Clerk Further Information: Sheriff's or Server's Return Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. eaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. [(for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: (name) (title). other: Kirkwood Rd (address) (County/City of St. Louis), MO, on (date) at (time). at Ine to Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sheriff or Server Must the sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: AMANDA L. MILLER Notary Public - Notary Seal Subscribed and sworn to before me on 9-23 (date). St Charles Seanty – State of Missouri Commission Number 13534807, My Commission Expires Oct 6, 2021 04 2021 Date Nofery Public Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge 10.00 Mileage miles @ \$. Total A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

1 of 1

OSCA (06-18) SM30 (SMCC) For Court Use Only: Document Id # 19-SMCC-1689

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

Civil Procedure Form No. 1; Rules 54.01 – 54.05, 54.13, and 54.20; 506.120 – 506.140, and 506.150 RSMo